- A. (Affirmative basis of site selection spelled out on basis of CIA's and Clarko and Repueno's criteria about 300-500 words.)
- B. Opponents of locating the CTA beadquarters installation at Langley have offered the following major arguments:
 - 1. It would not be good plausing.
 - 2. It is opposed locally.
 - 3. It would overburden existing or immediately contemplated facilities for private automobile access.
 - 4. It would accelerate population growth in Feirfex County, to such an extent as to interfere with orderly development.
 - 5. The site does not offer "adequate facilities" (other than private automobile access) specifically, water, sewerage, power, telephone, and public transportation.
- C. CIA's replies to these arguments are:
 - 1. The planning agencies which have considered the quastion (and they comprise all such agencies even remotely affected) have presented views so diverse that a layern must find it difficult to determine what "good planning is. The planning agency most directly concerned (Feirfax County Planning Commission) and those consisted by law to a broad viewpoint (NCPC and NCRPC) have approved the site selection. Adjacent governmental organs (the Boards of Supervisors of Fairfax and Arlington Counties and the City Council of Falls Church) have also approved the site selection. It is significant that the City of Alexandria and the Upper Montgomery Planning Commission were not so concerned with the "planning" shortcomings of the Langley site as they were with presenting alternative sites within their respective jurisdictions. (AFFEEDIX A)
 - 2. A small but voriferous group of persons residing near the proposed site have opposed the location. This group circulated a petition which was signed by 700 opponents. Another petition, circulated privately in the same area, was signed by 2,600 residents who favored CIA at langley. Representative Broyhill, in a public opinion sampling, found that 74 per cent of Pairfax County residents and 76 per cent of Langley's "neighbors" (Dransaville District) favor CIA at Langley.
 - 3. As the attached chart (APPENDIX B) descentrates, the bulk of CIA's private automobile traffic is expected, on the basis of present residence locations, to use the extended George Mashington

Memorial Parkway. Additions to traffic on the other roads serving Langley will not be major, relative to existing traffic on those same roads. Amy site in Virginia (and CIA's own requirements restrict site selection to Virginia) faces the problem of Potomac River crossings. Cla's addition to crossing traffic vill be more than aqualed by the increased caracity to be provided by the Constitution Avenue bridge. and CIA's traffic movement will be assinct the major traffic flow, both morning and evening. Public statements by various members of the major planning bodies are attached (APPENDIX C). On reading these statements, it is difficult to avoid the conclusion that many of the complaints that CIA will aggregate the traffic problem, and that the Pederal Government should, therefore, provide an expensive road not, are notivoted by considerations that have little connection with CIA or Langley, but are directed at the realization of (perfectly laudable!) aspiretions for which CIA is thought a convenient vehicle.

- 4. It is the opinion of CIA's consultants, Clarke and Repusso, concurred in by CIA, that there will be no substantial migration of its employees to the meighborhood of Langley. Of all the sites considered, Langley is the most conveniently accessible to the bulk of CIA's employees, and, therefore, the least likely to engender change of residence. CIA's employees are presently residing in areas of their choice which they find conveniently accessible to 2430 E Street. Those living in Virginia will be closer to Langley. Those living in areas of Maryland and Morthwest D. C., served by the Key and Chain Bridges, will be closer to Langley. Those who cross the Mesorial (or Constitution Avenue) Bridges will add only 10-15 minutes to their commuting time, and that along a fact, pleasant perhaps. These are cogent arguments to suggest the conclusion that relocation of personnel will be minimal. Opponents of Langley frequently endorse the mutually enclosive views that CIA at Langley will require an extensive new road net (implying that our employees will remain at their present locations) and that Pairfex County will experience a great influx of these same employees. It is noteworthy that the pleaning seemy directly comesmed (Pairfax County Planning Countssion) and the governmental organ that must cope with any "problems" CIA may bring (Pairfax County Board of Supervisors) have both endorsed CIA's locating at Langley. The detailed report of the Planning Commission clearly states the factual situation and is relatively free of random speculation; it has been endorsed without qualification by the Board of Supervisors (APPENDIX D).
- All moded facilities, completely adequate to CIA's meeds, have been promised by the public authorities or public utilities concerned, specifically:

Approved For Release 2002/04/01: CIA-RDP80-01370R000500050080-4

- a. Water will be supplied by the City of Falls Church.
- b. Sevenge disposal will be sugplied by Pairfes County.
- c. Telephone, electric light and power will be supplied by public willity corporations serving the area.
- d. Public transportation will be supplied by one or both bus lines serving the general area, as may be determined by the Virginia State Corporation Commission.
- D. CIA's affirmative reasons for desiring to locate at Langley have been offered in A. Opponents' views have been susmarized in B and enewered in C. We subsit that the weight of the evidence favors Langley as the location for the new CIA bendessertery installation.

OUTLINE OF AFFERDICES

APPENDIX A would be a "tally sheet" of planning agency votes, e. g.:

| Metional | Capital | Florning | Commission | For | |
|------------|---------|----------|------------|-----|-------------|
| Mational | Capital | Regional | Plemning | • | ** * |
| Commission | | | | 5 | 3 |

APPENDIX B would be a large chart (reproduced small for attachment to this statement), showing only the Langley site, the Potomac River and bridges, George Washington Resorial Parkersy from the site to Kemorial Bridge (18th Street ?), Route 123 and Globe Bond, with figures and arrows showing:

Capacity (a.m. and p.m., each way) of each facility

Present load of each facility

CIA's addition to the load

APPENDIX C would be a quetation of choice statements, taken from the record as submitted by the National Capital Planning Commission and the National Capital Regional Planning Commission.

APPENDIX D would be a copy of the Fairfax County Planning Consission's report, complete, and the minutes of the various affirmative votes of the Board of Supervisors.